

REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action of August 18, 2005 is gratefully acknowledged.

The objection to claim 8 is noted. Claim 8 and claim 15 have been amended to change "a" in the next to the last line in claims 8 and 15 to "a". This amendment overcomes the noted objection.

The rejection of claims 8 - 10 as anticipated by McHugh under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), the rejection of claims 8, 13 and 14 as anticipated by Chang under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), the rejection of claim 15 as anticipated by Takada et al. under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), and the rejection of claim 11 as unpatentable over McHugh in view of Takamori et al. under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are noted and again respectfully traversed.


Claim 8 has been amended to clarify the recitation of the structure shown, most clearly, in Fig. 5. The receiving piece of the arm support means define opposed inward faces (see the annotated copy of Fig. 5 attached hereto. The receiving piece also abuts against an inward engagement face of the arm, (again see the annotated copy of Fig. 5 attached). This engagement holds the arms in place so that the insertion space is not distorted. This structure is not seen in the references of record.

New claim 16 has been added which introduces the engagement piece and channel, also shown in the annotated copy of Fig. 5. This feature is also not seen in the references of record.

The examiner is urged to reconsider his position and find claims 8 - 11 and 13 - 16 allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Registration No: 25,721

Date: November 18, 2005

Customer Number *23364*
BACON & THOMAS
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Phone: (703) 683-0500